## **REMARKS**

Claims 27, 29, and 70-72 are pending. Claims 27 and 29 have been amended and new claims 70-72 have been added to recite additional features of Applicants' invention.

Reconsideration of the application is requested for the following reasons.

In the Final Office Action, claims 27 and 29 were rejected under 35 USC § 103(a) for being obvious in view of a Grube-Bierman combination. This rejection is traversed for the following reasons.

Claim 27 recites "a transmitting unit which transmits a second caller information through the radio channel, wherein the first and second caller information includes a first and a second picture information respectively, and wherein the signal processing unit comprises a picture information processing unit that processes the first picture information." (See, for example, Figure 4 and pages 19, 20, and 21-27 for support). These features are not taught or suggested by the cited references.

The Grube patent fails to teach or suggest transmitting picture information through a radio channel with a caller identifier. The Examiner acknowledged this deficiency in the Final Office Action. Applicants further submit that Grube fails to teach or suggest the features added by amendment to claim 27.

The Bierman patent discloses performing communications in a wired-telephone system, e.g., PSTN. Bierman does not teach or suggest modifying a caller identifier detecting unit in a mobile communication system that receives caller information transmitted along the radio channel, wherein the caller information includes picture information and combinations thereof as recited.

Docket No. CIT/K-124A

Serial No. 10/735,884 Amendment dated April 26, 2006 Reply to Final Office Action of February 1, 2006

Moreover, in the Final Office Action, the Examiner noted that Grube discloses performing caller ID in a mobile communication system. However, neither Grube nor Bierman teach or suggest the features added by amendment to claim 27, whether those references are taken alone or in combination. That is, Grube and Bierman do not teach or suggest a transmitting unit which transmits a second caller information through the radio channel, wherein the first and second caller information includes a first and a second picture information respectively, and wherein the signal processing unit comprises a picture information processing unit that processes the first picture information.

Absent a teaching or suggestion of these features, it is respectfully submitted that a Grube-Bierman combination cannot render claim 27 or its dependent claims obvious.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that the application is in condition for allowance. Favorable consideration and prompt allowance of the application is respectfully requested.

To the extent necessary, Applicants petition for an extension of time under 37 CFR § 1.136. Please charge any shortage in fees due in connection with this application

Serial No. 10/735,884 Amendment dated April 26, 2006 Reply to Final Office Action of February 1, 2006

to Deposit Account No. 16-0607 and credit any excess fees to the same Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,

Carl R. Wesolowski Registration No. 40,372

Samuel W. Ntiros Registration No. 39,318

FLESHNER & KIM, LLP P.O. Box 221200 Chantilly, Virginia 20153-1200 Telephone No: (703) 766-3701 Facsimile No: (703) 766-3644